

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/634,058	QUE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ernest G. Therkorn	1723	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

(2) Kitisri Sukhapinda.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 June 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None*

**Claims discussed:**

*None*

**Prior art documents discussed:**


*None*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner proposed cancelling the non-elected invention to place the case in condition for allowance. Kitisri Sukhapinda then authorized the cancellation by examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)